Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/596,817	CASTILE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MINA HAGHIGHATIAN	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>MINA HAGHIGHATIAN</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Alan S. Nadel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2011</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative)		
/Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Applicant to disscus the claims. Examiner indicated that there appears to be a typo in claim 37, item (c). Examiner inquired if the range of 1-2 mg/ml was meant to be 1-20 mg/ml. Mr. Nadel agreed that "2" indeed should have been "20". Examiner also suggested that if claim 33 was to be amended to delete the term "or preventing", claims 32-35 can be rejoined and allowed. Mr. Nadel agreed to having the term "or preventing" deleted from claim 33, line 1.

Examiner then inquired about Applicant's definition of the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of". Mr. Nadel stated that by "consisting essentially of" Applicants mean that no agents, steps or components that would materially effect or change the characteristics of applicant's invention are present in the formulations as claimed. Amendments are shown by way of an Examiner's amendment, attached..